
Overview

- Innovative and stimulating: Fresh empirical look at taxes, labor supply, and risky labor income.
 - When outcome is risky, progressive marginal taxes can discourage risky self employment.
 - Convexity effect dominates insurance effect.
 - Results substantially robust to industry and occupation interactions.
-

Broad implications

- (Asymmetry) Convexity of tax schedule reduces expected after-tax value of risky future earnings.
 - Discourages risk taking.
 - (Insurance) After-tax income has a lower variance. Government shares the risk.
 - Encourages risk taking.
 - Asymmetry/convexity effect appears to dominate in Gentry/Hubbard results.
-

Additional Considerations

- (Observational uncertainty) Prospective entrants into self employment know more about their future earnings than we do.
 - Entrants self select into self employment.
 - Marginal tax rate is systematically understated for new entrants.
 - Understatement increases with convexity.
 - Estimated effect of convexity biased.
 - Difficult to separate effects of entrant behavior under uncertainty from effects of uncertainty about entrants.
-

Uncertainty of earnings

Table 2: Wage Growth and Self-employment		
	Real Wage Growth over Three Years (%)	
	Entrants from Year t to Year $t+1$	Non-entrants from Year t to Year $t+1$
Mean	33.4	10.1
Standard Deviation	172.3	84.5
5 th percentile	-85.4	-78.1
10 th percentile	-64.8	-46.6
25 th percentile	-32.5	-15.1
Median	3.14	2.65
75 th percentile	43.9	22.7
90 th percentile	119.3	57.1
95 th percentile	234.0	95.2
Number of observations	1,156	36,189

Source: Authors' calculations based on data from the PSID, 1978-1993.

- Table from “Tax Policy and Entry Into Entrepreneurship,” Gentry and Hubbard, 2004.
- Suggests that self employment is more risky.
- Empirical distribution used to measure convexity.

Uncertainty of Earnings

- Empirical distribution is not a good measure of the uncertainty faced by potential entrants.
 - Includes entrants' uncertainty.
 - Includes our uncertainty about entrants.
 - Clearly influenced by self selection.
 - Entrants likely to choose self employment in expectation of higher earnings.
-

Uncertainty of Earnings

- Entrants' knowledge about future earnings.
 - Changes in labor supply, e.g., moonlighting, early retirement, outside teaching or consulting.
 - Value of accumulated experience/human capital in self employment.
 - Good opportunity: Right place at the right time.
 - Relative skewness of distribution is consistent with self selection.
 - Ratio of mean to median is about 10:1 for entrants.
 - Ratio is about 4:1 for non entrants.
 - Relative dispersion is not a good measure of the relative risk faced by entrants.
 - Standard deviation about twice as high.
-

Effects on Estimation

- Effect on level of convexity measure.
 - Empirical distribution overstates dispersion.
 - Tends to overstate convexity faced by potential new entrants.
 - Not likely to be important.
 - Will bias coefficient of convexity in inverse proportion to bias in measure.
 - Doesn't matter as long as same convexity measure used for estimation, interpretation, and policy predictions.
-

Effects on Estimation

- Convexity and measurement error in marginal tax rate.
- Marginal tax rate measurement error.
 - Measured at last salary earnings plus growth rate.
 - Perhaps good measure of tax rate at margin on labor income of non-entrants.
 - Likely to understate tax rate at margin for those who select into self employment.
- Correlation with measurement error.
 - Understatement is largest for entrants in the most convex regions of tax schedule.
 - Convexity negatively correlated with measurement error in tax rate.

Effects on Estimation

- Negative correlation.
 - Likely to bias estimated coefficient of convexity coefficient downward.
 - If higher marginal tax rates discourage entry.
 - Self selection will cause overstatement of (negative) effect of convexity.
-

Implications

- Can't separate from Gentry/Hubbard estimates:
 - Negative effect of convexity due to entrant behavior toward uncertainty.
 - Negative effect of convexity due to observational uncertainty, self selection, and measurement error bias in marginal tax rate.
 - Can't conclude yet whether convexity effect dominates the insurance effect.
-

Conclusions

- Stimulating and important line of research.
 - Should take criticism with grain of salt.
 - Size of bias is an empirical issue.
 - Nonlinearity of tax schedule important either way.
 - How does uncertainty affects the influence of taxes on self-employment decisions?
 - How does uncertainty affect our ability to measure the influence of taxes?
 - A challenge for future research.
-